THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA
AND
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.LAKSHMANA REDDY

Taken Up Writ Petition No.1035 of 2007

Dated: 29th January, 2007.

Between:
Shabana Banu, W/o.Mohd. Hamed Ali,
R/o.93-94 EWS Colony, Yakuthpura, Hyderabad
... Petitioner

and

1. The Station House Officer, Narayanaguda
Police Station and two others
... Respondents

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA
AND
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.LAKSHMANA REDDY

Taken Up Writ Petition No.1035 of 2007

Oral Order: **(per the Hon'ble Sri Justice P.Lakshmana Reddy)**

Heard the learned Advocate General appearing for the respondents.

2. This is a Taken Up Writ Petition on the basis of a telegram, dated 05-01-2007 sent by the petitioner to the Chief Justice of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad alleging that her brother's son, by name Abdul Kaleem and his friend Rafey; her son, by name Md.Imran Ali and his friend Mohd. Arif have been arrested on 01-01-2007 and
02-01-2007, respectively, by the Sub Inspector of Police, Narayanaguda Police Station and were not produced before the Court and that they have been illegally detained.

3. On receipt of notices issued by this Court, the

Inspector of Police, Narayanaguda Police Station, Hyderabad, who is the first respondent herein, filed a counter-affidavit stating that they never arrested any of the persons mentioned in the telegram and that in fact, as the persons mentioned in the telegram are accused in Crime No.6 of 2007 for the offence punishable under Section 382 I.P.C., on the file of Abids Police Station, the Police, Abids Police Station arrested them on 05-01-2007, that out of the four accused three accused viz., Abdul Kaleem, Md.Arif and M.A.Rafy were produced before the learned II Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Hyderabad and the remaining accused viz., Imran Ali was produced before the learned V Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Puranihaveli, Hyderabad, that they were remanded to judicial custody and that subsequently, they were released on bail.

4. As the alleged detenus are no longer in custody and as they were arrested in a crime and were produced before the learned Magistrates and they are on bail, no orders need be passed in this writ petition as we do not find any merit in the same.

5. Accordingly, the Taken Up Writ Petition is dismissed, at the stage of admission.

_____

D.S.R.VARMA, J

_____

P.LAKSHMANA REDDY, J

29th January, 2007.

Ak